

Third-Year Nursing Student's Physiological Knowledge Retention

Prabal Sharma¹, Caroline-Foster-Boucher² Raj Narnaware^{*1}, Melanie Neumeier¹ and Paul Chahal³ 1. Department of Human Health & Science, Faculty of Nursing, MacEwan University, Edmonton, Alberta, CANADA, T5J 4S2 2. Department of Health Systems and Sustainability, MacEwan University

3. Department of Allied Health & Human Performance, Faculty of Health & Community Studies, MacEwan University

Introduction

- Anatomy and physiology are considered foundational courses in medical, allied health and nursing programs. However, there is growing concern that students are not retaining the essential bioscience knowledge in these courses over time (Narnaware, Y. 2021).
- Numerous studies have demonstrated medical, nursing, and allied healthcare

Results

• The mean score of questions from ten organ systems in year one was $62.85 \pm 10.5 (\pm SD)$. **Comparing this score to match test items** evaluated in the third-year Nursing Care of Families with Young Children course, there is a decrease in the overall mean score from $62.85 \pm 10.5 (\pm SD)$ to $50.95 \pm 13.02 (\pm SD)$, accounting for a 11.94% knowledge loss within two years (Figure 1).

Discussion

These results demonstrate a high level of knowledge retention overall, with variations in retention being systemspecific. The level of knowledge retention in this study was significantly higher than previous rates reported in medical and allied-health students (Pourshanazari et al., 2013), and is significantly higher than anatomical knowledge retention levels in the same population (Narnaware and Neumeier, 2020b).

USRI Undergraduate Student Research Initiative

Conclusion Continue...

- Physiological knowledge retention in this study is significantly higher than recent anatomical knowledge retention reported in second-year nursing students (Narnaware and Neumeier, 2020b).
- While the loss is consistent with other disciplines, it raises the concern of how much physiological knowledge is retained by students throughout their program

students' difficulty in retaining and applying anatomical knowledge as they progress through their programs of study (Narnaware & Neumeier, 2020b).

However, physiological knowledge retention has not been studied as extensively as anatomical knowledge retention in health care disciplines, with very few studies focusing on nursing students (Aari et al., 2004). Of those studies, most are carried out after graduation (Aari et al., 2004) or are focused on a single or limited number of organ systems (Pourshanazari et al., 2013).

Purpose

• The present study aims to determine the level of physiological knowledge retained by nursing students in the third-year between completing their physiology course in first-year nursing and enrollment

- Physiological knowledge retention was highest for digestion, respiratory, fluid and
 - electrolyte and vascular (97.3-85.2%, followed by renal, reproductive physiology, and blood (83.3-82.5%).
 - **Retention was significantly lower (P<0.001)** for defenses and endocrine physiology (79.4-70.0%) (Table 1).

Figure 1. The Summary of Overall Retention



- The base-level knowledge acquisition in ten physiological systems is significantly lower than anatomical knowledge acquisition (Narnaware and Neumeier, 2020b).
- These differences in organ system-specific knowledge retention may be attributed to the level of difficulty of the questions, the time period between time learned and time tested, students' perceived usefulness of the information, and bioscience subjectspecific. Future studies could investigate the variables that impact specific system knowledge retention and the interventions that might improve those retention levels.

Conclusion

In conclusion, physiological knowledge retention varied by body's organ system. The overall loss of 11.94.0% is consistent with results reported in medical and allied health professional students (Pourshanazari et al., 2013). This loss is higher than short-term (4-months) knowledge retention reported for the same population (Narnaware et al., 2020a).

- and registered nurses once they enter practice.
- Studies are currently underway to evaluate physiological knowledge retention in fourth-year nursing students.
- Future studies could involve the development and evaluation of teaching strategies to increase the level of anatomical knowledge retention in health disciplines.

Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge Dr. Karen Buro, **Professor in the Dept. of Mathematics and** Statistics, MacEwan University, for advice on the statistical analysis of the data in this study and Caroline for running Kahoots.

in the third-year Nursing Care of Families with Young Children course.

> Methods

- To answer the above question, nursing students were quizzed on ten organ systems using an online quizzing platform called Kahoot (Kahoot Inc., Oslo, Norway) to assess physiological knowledge retention. The students were encouraged not to study for Kahoot quizzes in advance. Between nine and eleven multiple-choice questions(MCQs) reflecting first-year knowledge from each major organ system were developed into ten Kahoots.
- These scores were compared to first-year quiz scores on the same content to determine overall knowledge retention over two years.

Year 3

Year 1 and Year 2 bars represent the overall mean scores from ten organ systems converted into percent knowledge. There is a significant decrease in knowledge between years 1 and 3 (P<0.001).

Table 1. Retention by Organ Systems

Organ system	Year 1	Year 3 %	knowledge	t test/
Physiology	Mean ± SD	Mean \pm SD	lost	p values
Vascular	66.98 ± 23.3	52.2 ± 21.2	14.8%	.001
Blood	59.82 ± 13.4	42.3 ± 13.7	17.5%	.0001
Defences	67.48 ± 20.8	37.2 ± 18.2	30.3%	.0001
Inflammation	73.67 ± 19.3	59.7 ± 28.8	13.9%	.005
Respiration	46.29 ± 11.9	38.6 ± 21.9	7.7%	.139
Hormones	75.97 \pm 17.7	55.4 ± 33.9	20.6%	.014
Renal	54.67 ± 15.0	38.0 ± 16.2	16.7%	.0002
Fluid & Electroly	te 72.59 \pm 17.2	63.0 ± 20.2	9.6%	.062
Digestive	48.05 ± 22.4	45.4 ± 22.1	2.7%	.641
Reproductive	63.36 ± 16.7	46.9 ± 27.2	16.5%	.015

References

- Aari, R. L., Ritmala-Castren, M., Leino-Kilpi, H., & Suominen, T. (2004). **Biological and physiological knowledge** and skills of graduating Finnish nursing students to practice in intensive care. Nurs Educ Today 24:293-300.
- Narnaware, Y. (2021). Never Heard That **Before! Bioscience Knowledge Retention** in Undergraduate Nursing Education-**Current Topics. Human Anatomy and Physiology Society Educator, HAPS** Educator Journal, 25 (2), 77-83.
- Narnaware, Y., Neumeier, M. (2020a). **Short-term Physiological Knowledge Retention in Nursing Students.** FASEB J 34 **(S1): 05558.**
- Narnaware, Y., & Neumeier, M. (2020b). Second-year nursing students' retention of gross anatomical knowledge. Anat Sci

The pooled data from the first-year physiology course and the third-year Nursing Care of Families with Young Children course were subjected to statistical evaluation using SPSS II (IBM **Corp; Armonk, NY) to determine overall** knowledge retention. Means were compared using 2-sample 't' tests. Significant differences were considered at **P<0.05**.

Educ 13:230-236. Pourshanazari, A. A., Roohbaksh, A., Kazaie, M., & Tajadini, H. (2013). **Comparing the long-term retention of a** physiology course for medical students with traditional and problem-based learning. Adv Health Sci Educ 18:91-97.