

A Close Comparative Reading of a Selection of Stories from Raymond Carver's What We Talk

About When We Talk About Love and Beginners

I. Carver and Lish

The literary discourse surrounding the relationship between Raymond Carver and his editor Gordon Lish flourishes as scholars and critics discover more about the extent of Lish's editorial influence. When Carver's short story collection *What We Talk About When We Talk About Love¹* (WWTA) was published in 1981, no one was aware of the magnitude of Lish's editing. Since then, both Carver and Lish have preserved their manuscripts and papers at different university libraries, and Carver's original WWTA manuscript has been published as a separate collection *Beginners²*. This has provided an opportunity for the public to see what was going on behind the scenes in the creation of WWTA, while also raising questions regarding how Lish's edits changed the stories and the collection as a whole. Although Carver is still associated with the minimalist literary genre, some scholars argue that Lish's edits are what created Carver's minimalist identification; among these scholars, a portion of them claim that Lish's edits created unity and cohesion amongst the stories.

The degree of unity and interconnectedness among the short stories within a collection distinguishes short story *cycles* from sequences and collections. Literary scholar Gerald Lynch emphasizes the relationship between the parts and the whole in short story cycles in his article "Short Story Cycles: Between the Novel and the Story Collection". I will be applying a modified version of Lynch's short story cycle definition to my evaluation of *Beginners* and *WWTA*. This will inform my discussion with critics Randolph Runyon and Enrico Monti—and to a lesser

¹ Originally published by Alfred A. Knopf, Inc.—a division of Penguin Random House—however, I will be referencing the June 1989 Vintage Books Edition.

² Originally published by The Library of America, New York, in 2009, however, I will be referencing the September 2015, First Vintage Contemporaries Edition.

degree, Michael Hemmingson—who argue through their essays³ that Lish's revisions made *What We Talk About* a cohesive cycle. Part of this argument that Runyon, Monti, and Hemmingson are making is that Lish is also responsible for the minimalism in *WWTA*. Other scholars—such as Wells Addington, William L. Stull, and Maureen P. Carroll—provide their own evaluations of Lish's minimalism and the impact he had on Carver's work⁴.

While I agree that Lish is responsible for WWTA's minimalist style, and not Carver himself, and I agree that the stories in WWTA are unified by this style, I disagree that Lish is responsible for unifying the stories in the cycle. This is not to say that the changes made by Lish did not create any unity—because they did. Rather, I am arguing that Carver created unity and cohesion before Lish's edits, which is apparent upon examining Beginners. Through a close comparative reading of three of the stories in WWTA and Beginners, I will show how both books meet Lynch's criteria of being a short story cycle with differing unifying principles. This will support my defense against Runyon's, Monti's, and Hemmingson's claims that Lish is responsible for WWTA's unity and identification as a short story cycle.

II. Lynch's Cycle

I will be using Lynch's work on the sub-genre as the foundation for my working definition of short story cycles. As mentioned before, a cycle differs from sequences and collections as the term cycle best captures the "dynamic spiralling movement" (Lynch 517) that is created through the ongoing development throughout the individual stories. Although each individual story in a cycle can be understood when read on its own, when read together in the cycle these stories

³ Runyon's essay "Cycling Fiction: On the Structure of Raymond Carver's Three Major Story Collections", Monti's essay "Minimalism, Dirty Realism, and Raymond Carver", and Hemmingson's essay "Saying More without Trying to Say More: On Gordon Lish Reshaping the Body of Raymond Carver and Saving Barry Hannah".

⁴ Stull and Carroll discuss this in their essay "The Critical Reception of the Works of Raymond Carver", and Addington in his essay "Will You Please Be Edited, Please?".

create their "strongest coherence... [through] a unified cyclical structure" (Lynch 519). In addition to this, Lynch emphasizes the necessary functions of the first and last stories in a cycle. The opening story introduces what is essential to the cycle's meaning and prepares readers for what they can expect from the rest of the cycle (Lynch 524). The concluding story, according to Lynch, "bring[s] to fulfilment the preceding recurrent patterns... and [restates] the cycle's main thematic interests" (Lynch 525). Lynch notes that the concluding story may also include a call back to characters and images from earlier stories.

It is important to acknowledge that Lynch argues that short story cycles are most strongly unified when this unity is achieved through a shared setting or character(s) (Lynch 519). A cycle that is unified by theme is stronger than a cycle unified by style; however, according to Lynch, both of these unifying elements are weaker in comparison to setting and character. Lynch excludes theme and style from his definition to avoid the definition from being too broad/all encompassing⁵; however, my working definition will include cycles that are unified through theme and style, as both *Beginners* and *WWTA* are effective examples of this. Not only will my comparative analysis of *Beginners* and *WWTA* show how the two cycles are unified in different ways, but it will also provide an example of how cycles unified by theme are more cohesive than cycles unified through style/aesthetic.

By following along with Lynch's definition, I have outlined a working definition of what a short story cycle is in four parts. Short story cycles are: (1) stories that build upon each other and develop the unifying elements of the cycles; (2) stories that can be read and understood independently from the cycle, but are stronger and more unified when read within the context of

⁵ When establishing the parameters of his definition, Lynch states that the success of a cycle should be evaluated "on the extent to which it is unified by place or character", and not on its aesthetic (Lynch 522).

the other stories in the cycle; (3) stories that are unified through characters, setting, themes, and/or style; and (4), an opening story which establishes the unifying principles of the cycle and a concluding story which reflects on the cycle's unifying elements and may return to images and themes from earlier stories in the cycle. This is the working definition of a short story cycle that I will be applying and referencing in my evaluations of *WWTA* and *Beginners*.

III. An Analysis of WWTA and Beginners

In the upcoming section I am going to engage in a comparative close reading of three stories from *WWTA* and *Beginners*—the opening story, "Why Don't You Dance", the concluding story, "One More Thing", and a third story, "Gazebo". I have included "Gazebo" in my analysis as it another case of Lish cutting the moments when characters come to terms with the realization of their failed relationship(s). In *Beginners*, "One More Thing" also returns to many of the themes from "Gazebo", while echoing the themes from "Why Don't You Dance"; whereas in *WWTA*, these three stories are predominantly unified by their minimalist style and ambiguous endings.

Runyon asserts that Lish intended "to create unified collections... by making slight changes and adding small details that would increase these internal connections" (Runyon 159). Runyon notes that this came at the "expense of reducing the emotional charge of the individual stories". I intend to disprove Runyon's assertion by showing that although the minimalist style curated by Lish was at the expense of weakening the emotional charge and the significance of the relationships, it did not increase the internal connections between the stories. Instead, Lish removed the internal connections Carver created that unified the stories through shared themes and replaced it with a weaker internal connection via style.

"Why Don't You Dance" is the *least*⁶ edited story in *WWTA*, with Lish cutting the manuscript by 9% (*Beginners* 217). On its own, the differences between the two versions of the story may see minute; however, a pattern becomes noticeable when we compare the other stories. In the *WWTA* version of "Why Don't You Dance", both the sentences and paragraphs are significantly shorter, and two of the three characters remain unnamed and are only referred to as "the boy" and "the girl". In *WWTA*, the opening story establishes the minimalist style that readers can expect from the other sixteen stories.

These stylistic changes are enhanced by Lish's removal of the insight into the characters' inner thoughts and feelings. This resulted in stories that were "icy at times" (Monti 63), as Lish removed all sentimentality and introspection, thus leaving behind characters who lack empathy and creating readers who are less empathetic to these characters. In *WWTA*, "One More Thing" concludes with the girl telling someone about the items she and her boyfriend got from Max. She comes off quite harsh as she describes the records as "crappy", the items from Max as "shit", and Max himself as "the old guy" (*WWTA* 10)—these are words that Lish added to Carver's text. The ending in *Beginners*, however, is very different. After pointing out the records (which she describes as being *old* rather than crappy), she describes how her and Jack (her boyfriend) fell asleep in Max's bed, and how Max put a blanket over them which she has now (*Beginners* 8).

Both versions of the story end with her trying to talk it out—implying that there is something deeper to the story that she is trying to describe. In the *Beginners* version, Carver notes that she "couldn't get it into words"; however, this line was cut by Lish. This struggle to put feelings into words is reflected in many of the other stories which I will discuss later.

⁶ This is not including "Mine" (titled "Popular Mechanics" in *WWTA*), which is the only story from the cycle that did not have a typescript preserved in the *Beginners* manuscript (*Beginners* 220).

Throughout the story, the girl tries to connect with Jack in an intimate manner, as she asks him to kiss her several times. In *Beginners*, Jack has "to prize her fingers loose" (*Beginners* 4). Later, the girl asks Max to dance, as her longing for connection and intimacy is left unsatisfied from her boyfriend. What is not included in *WWTA* is the girl calling for Jack to wake up ask she dances with Max, and her observation that she "was filled with an unbearable happiness" (*Beginners* 7) as she held herself to Max. In *Beginners*, the girl craves some level of human connection that her boyfriend is unable to provide; this craving is briefly satisfied when Max lets her hold him. So, what is it that she is unable to put into words at the end of the story?

The ending of WWTA's version of "Why Don't You Dance" is an example of the effects of Lish's omission, which ends stories "on uncertain epistemological grounds" (Addington 10). In Beginners, the ending stresses the impact this had on the girl, the strength of her desire for connection, and the complex feelings that come up following her dance with Max. Lish's edits created an opening story that establishes the minimalist style and the unresolved and uncertain endings which unify the stories in the cycle. In Beginners, "Why Don't You Dance" introduces the themes which unify the cycle—failed relationships, desire for connection/intimacy, and the disillusionment experienced by the characters as they come to terms accepting their new reality.

Lish cut Carver's manuscript of "One More Thing" by 37% (*Beginners* 221), which included the removal of the final paragraph, and thus created an ambiguous and unresolved conclusion. In *WWTA*, the story ends with L.D.'s final comment before he leaves his wife and daughter. "He [L.D.] said, "I just want to say one more thing." But then he could not think what it could possibly be" (*WWTA* 159). This ending echos the uncertain conclusion from "Why Don't You Dance", as the girl tries to "get it talked out" (*WWTA* 10) but eventually stops trying. Due to

Lish's removal of the characters' thoughts and feelings, there is a lack of resolution to these stories as it no longer seems like the characters are coming to terms with their new realities.

In the *Beginners* version, L.D. follows through with saying one more thing, and ends up saying much more than one thing. L.D. repeatedly tells Maxine and Bea that he loves them, as he struggles to hold onto this moment as he comes to terms with realization that this may be the last time he sees them (*Beginners* 204-205). L.D.'s life is crumbling before him (be it a consequence of his own actions), he is leaving his family and home, and he is realizing that he must now reconcile with his failed relationship with Maxine and Bea.

Maxine responds to L.D., asking him if this [their relationship] is what he calls love (Beginners 205), shaking her head and making a fist. L.D. cries out her name while Maxine repeats the question. The story ends with L.D. staring into Maxine's eyes, maintaining the eye contact, and holding onto the moment for as long as he can. The repetition of L.D. stating his love for Maxine and Bea is echoed by Maxine's repeating of the question; this repetition stresses the importance of this moment for these characters. The failure of L.D.'s relationship with Maxine and Bea is at the forefront of the *Beginners* version. Not only did Lish cut this emotionally charged final scene, but he also cut many of the instances when the characters refer to one another by their familial titles. Lish cut all six of the times that Bea calls L.D. "Dad" (Beginners 201-204); although this worked well with Lish's minimalist style, it weakened the story's emphasis on the characters relationships and the impact felt by them as they—specifically L.D.—realize that nothing will be the same again. If we look back to the ending of the Beginners version of "Why Don't You Dance", there is reason to believe that the girl is having a similar realization to L.D. Both characters are facing the reality that their relationships will never be the same again, and this is something they cannot find the words to describe.

At this point, most people—including myself—would agree that Lish is responsible for the minimalist style of WWTA. I agree with Monti's identification that "what is apparent from Lish's editing is that he pursued minimalism in a much more profound way than Carver" (Monti 64). This assertion is further supported by Addington and Hemmingson. Addington's unique approach positions minimalism against realism by comparing the lessons of Carver's early writing teacher, John Gardner, to Lish's practices. This supports his thesis that minimalism reflects Lish's aesthetic more than Carver's (Addington 1). Hemmingson's article examines and compares Lish's editing—or in Hemmingson's words, "collaboration" (Hemmingson 480)—of Carver's work with his editing of author Barry Hannah's work to support his conclusion that Carver would not have been labeled a minimalist, nor would he have found the success he did if it were not for Lish.

Yet, some critics have taken things a step further by claiming that the edits which were responsible for the minimalism in Carver's work were also responsible for the unity and cohesion among the stories. Runyon claims that Lish's edits changed Carver's original stories so that "they would create a unified esthetic whole" (Runyon 159). Runyon specifically looks at how the stories were arranged in WWTA by attempting to show that neighbouring stories can have a "potentially self-reflexive resonance" (Runyon 160). Runyon neglects to look at the arrangement of the stories in Beginners, for if he did, he likely would have noticed that these stories also have a "self-reflexive resonance" with the other stories in the cycle. Although "Gazebo" is not a neighbouring story to the other two I have already looked at, it does reflect and emphasize some of the themes from "Why Don't You Dance?" and "One More Thing" (as well as other stories from Beginners).

Tying it All Together; a Close Reading of "Gazebo"

"Gazebo" is the fourth story in both *WWTA* and *Beginners* and was cut 44% by Lish. As with "One More Thing", "Why Don't You Dance?", and the other stories in *WWTA*, the sentences in "Gazebo" are shorter due to Lish replacing many of Carver's commas with periods—thus, adding to the cycle's minimalist style. The changes made by Lish's edits in "Gazebo" are a prime example of how Lish removed any admission or acknowledgment of responsibility made by the characters. In the *Beginners* version, Holly directly states that she and Duane should break up, as she says "Duane, it's taken a long time to come to this decision, but we have to go our separate ways. It's over, Duane. We may as well admit it" (*Beginners* 25).

A significant amount of the emotional depth is lost from Lish's editing of "Gazebo", as well as some of the background details of the characters (such as how Holly found out about the affair, the duration of the affair, and Holly's initial response to finding out). Rather than going over these differences as I did with my close comparative readings of "Why Don't You Dance?" and "One More Thing", I want to identify the connections between the Beginners versions of "Gazebo" and these other two stories. To start, Duane's inability to connect with Holly and his loss of words regarding their situation is later reflected by L.D.'s inability to express what he wants to say to Maxine and Bea (Beginners 26 & 204-205). Both Duane and L.D. have done something that hurt their significant other, and both are facing the consequences of this and struggling with the realization of their new reality. This speechlessness was first introduced by the girl from "Why Don't You Dance", but in all three instances Lish's edits either removed or dampened the importance of this aspect of the stories. The only thing Duane can seem to say to Holly is that he loves her. After saying this, he thinks to himself "but I don't know what else to say or what else I can offer under the circumstances" (Beginners 26). Although it is never stated that L.D. is thinking this after he repeatedly tells Maxine and Bea that he loves them, there are

indicators that he feels the same as Duane. Both men at are unable to say anything more than this, as they are realizing that there is nothing they can do to change what they have already done. The parallels between Duane and L.D. (in *Beginners*) are hard to miss.

There is also something interesting about the small moments of rejected/failed physical connection between characters that is missing in *WWTA*. In the *Beginners* version of "Gazebo", Duane reaches for Holly's hand, but she pulls away (*Beginners* 25); this can be interpreted as an echo of when the Jack must "prize [the girl's] fingers loose" (*Beginners* 4) in "Why Don't You Dance". These may seem like minute details, but because they express a similar message which can be discovered in other stories within the cycle it is reasonable to interpret them as something of significance. These instances of unreciprocated physical connection indicate obvious cracks in these relationships to both readers and the characters within the stories. This foreshadows the ending of these failed relationships, as the characters who are attempting to connect with their partner are coming to terms with the realization that things really are ending (L.D. and Duane), or that their relationship was not what they thought it was (the girl from "Why Don't You Dance").

Looking at other stories in *WWTA* and *Beginners* and comparing these differing versions will continue to reveal that both books can rightly be called short story cycles. Lish unified Carver's stories in *WWTA* by stripping away the emotion, depth, and connections between the characters' which created a unity of style and aesthetic. This took away from the stronger unity that Carver had already created through the shared themes of failed relationships, rejected connections, and the disillusionment experienced by some of the characters as they come to terms with their new realities. In *WWTA*, there are many moments which Stull and Carroll describe as "speaking silences" (Stull and Carroll 39); this reflects the minimalist ideal that less

is more. In *Beginners*, however, the characters attempt to fill these silences, but are unable to articulate exactly what they want to say. These characters begin to realize that nothing they say can save their failed relationships, and they are thus faced with the emotional burden of facing this new reality. Rather than saying that Lish *created* a unified cycle out of Carver's work with *WWTA*, it is more accurate to state that Lish exchanged Carver's unity of theme for a weaker unity of style that was created through his aggressive edits.

Works Cited

- Addington, Wells. "Will You Please Be Edited, Please? Gordon Lish and the Development of Literary Minimalism." *CEA Critic: An Official Journal of the College English Association*, vol. 78, no. 1, Mar. 2016, pp. 1–23. *EBSCOhost*, https://doi.org/10.1353/cea.2016.0005.
- Carver, Raymond. *Beginners*, First Vintage Contemporaries Edition, September 2015. Vintage Books Trade Paperback ISBN: 978-0-307-94792-5
- Carver, Raymond. What We Talk About When We Talk About Love, Vintage Books Edition, June 1989. ISBN: 0-679-72305-6 (pbk.)
- Hemmingson, Michael. "Saying More without Trying to Say More: On Gordon Lish Reshaping the Body of Raymond Carver and Saving Barry Hannah." *Critique*, vol. 52, no. 4, Sept. 2011, pp. 479–98. *EBSCOhost*, https://doi.org/10.1080/00111610903379974.
- Lynch, Gerald. "Short Story Cycles: Between the Novel and the Story Collection." *The Cambridge History of the English Short Story*, edited by Dominic Head, Cambridge University Press, 2016, pp. 513–29. *EBSCOhost*, https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316711712.031.
- Monti, Enrico. "CRITICAL CONTEXTS: Minimalism, Dirty Realism, and Raymond Carver." *Critical Insights: Raymond Carver*, May 2013, pp. 56–69. *EBSCOhost*, e zproxy.macewan.ca/login?url=https://library.macewan.ca/full-record/lkh/88181622.

- Runyon, Randolph. "CRITICAL READINGS: Cycling Fiction: On the Structure of Raymond Carver's Three Major Story Collections." *Critical Insights: Raymond Carver*, May 2013, pp. 159–71. *EBSCOhost*, ezproxy.macewan.ca/login?url=https://library.macewan.ca/full-record/lkh/88181628.
- Stull, William L., and Maureen P. Carroll. "CRITICAL CONTEXTS: The Critical Reception of the Works of Raymond Carver." *Critical Insights: Raymond Carver*, May 2013, pp. 39–55. *EBSCOhost*, ezproxy.macewan.ca/login?url=https://library.macewan.ca/full-record/lkh/88181621.